

Message

From: Feely, Ken [Feely.Ken@epa.gov]
Sent: 2/12/2015 2:07:12 PM
To: Crosby-Vega, Terri [Crosby-Vega.Terri@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: open burning

The answer may lie in an old preamble.

Ken Feely | EPA Region 4 | RCRA Division
Region 4 PCB Program Coordinator
USEPA Region 4 | Atlanta Federal Center 9T25
61 Forsyth Street SW | Atlanta GA 30303-8960
O: 404.562.8512 | F: 404.562.9964 | M: 470.725.7929
feely.ken@epa.gov

From: Crosby-Vega, Terri
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 8:07 AM
To: Shuster, Kenneth; Galbraith, Michael; Lesser, Ben; Gaines, Jeff; Wanslow, Julie; Palumbo, Janice; Craig, Harry; Hendrickson, Charles; Brown, Terry; Herstowski, Ken; Brogard, John; King, Toshia
Cc: Wilson, Michaelle; Sasseville, Sonya; Michuda, Colleen E.; McKeePerez, Nancy; Gilliland, Houston; Lamberth, Larry; Johnston, Jon; Feely, Ken; Watson, Sarah
Subject: RE: open burning

This may be old news for some, but I have been researching the interim status regulations for OB/OD. I was curious why the table referenced the quantities 10,000-30,000 pounds. Why didn't they say above 10,000 pounds? I found this answer in the attached document. On page 52, it states that the Agency limits the amount to 30,000 pounds at any one time. So now my question is ...what time period would "any one time" reference? Does anyone know?

Even in 1980, the documents states that "The Agency will be monitoring the progress of the on-going development of safe alternatives, and may propose additional regulations at a later time."

Terri Crosby-Vega
Environmental Engineer
USEPA Region 4
Atlanta Federal Center 9T25
61 Forsyth Street SW
Atlanta, GA 30303
404-562-8497

From: Shuster, Kenneth
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2015 9:58 AM
To: Galbraith, Michael; Lesser, Ben; Gaines, Jeff; Wanslow, Julie; Palumbo, Janice; Craig, Harry; Crosby-Vega, Terri; Hendrickson, Charles; Brown, Terry; Herstowski, Ken; Brogard, John; King, Toshia

Cc: Wilson, Michaelle; Sasseville, Sonya

Subject: FW: open burning

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Ken Shuster
703-308-8759

From: Mark Toohey [mailto:Personal Email / Ex. 6@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 08, 2015 1:14 PM
To: Shuster, Kenneth
Subject: Fwd: open burning

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mark Toohey** Personal Email / Ex. 6@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Feb 8, 2015 at 1:07 PM
Subject: open burning
To: "Salvatore, Brian" <brian.salvatore@lsus.edu>, basta@cbsnews.com, Connie
Personal Email / Ex. 6@yahoo.com>, "bobden@chartertn.net" <bobden@chartertn.net>,
"bob.winstead@baesystems.com" <bob.winstead@baesystems.com>, "Quincy.Styke@tn.gov"
<Quincy.Styke@tn.gov>

<http://cswab.org/about/cswab-and-badger-army-ammunition-plant-baap/>

The link above indicates the pervasiveness, over time, of a problem which should have been solved years ago, if money, laziness, stubbornness and politics hadn't gotten in the way. It is incomprehensible to me that the news media hasn't addressed this issue in a meaningful way on a national level. Although I have complained about our local problem to authorities for years, I had no idea of the numbers of asthmatics, children and families across the U.S. who have had to endure life threatening exposure to open burning by our own military, with the full blessing of the EPA. It is simply a sad state of affairs when we, as a nation, place cost savings above the health of our citizens.

Sincerely,

Mark Toohey